2						
3						
1						
5						
5		IN THE SUPERIOR			ASHINGTON	
			KING	COUNTY		
SIAI	IE O	F WASHINGTON,))	NI.		
		Plaintiff)	NO		
0		v.)		AND PROTECTIVE ORDER ERT WITNESS AND	
1		Defend)) ant)		S FUNDING REQUEST	
2		Detend)			
3			МОТ	ION		
5	Th	e defendant, requests a protectiv	e ordei	limiting the	disclosure of the following	
	nents	and the information contained i	n these	e documents:		
7	Motion and Certification for Appointment of Expert, dated					
8	Order Authorizing Expert Services at Public Expense, dated					
9	3.				,	
0		dated				
1	4.				,	
2		dated				
3						
Protect	Protective Order for Expert Witness and Services Funding Request 10/2011		age 1 of	3 Pages	Firm Name:Address:Phone:	

These documents were provided to the Office of Public Defense (OPD) and the records and information concerning these documents will be provided to various agencies in the future to conduct financial business.

This request is made pursuant to the recent Washington Supreme Court decision in Yakima County v. Yakima Herald-Republic 170 Wn. 2d 775 (2011). The Supreme Court ruled that documents prepared by court personnel in connection with court cases and maintained by the court are judicial documents governed by the court rules for disclosure and not the Public Records Act (PRA). In addition, such documents when transferred to non-judicial county entities, are governed by the PRA unless they are subject to a protective order.

The documents listed above, contain information that is work product and confidential under CrR 3.1(f). They outline the defense theory of its case and identify potential experts. Thus, pursuant to the most recent Supreme Court decision, the defense requests that a protective order be issued limiting the disclosure of the documents listed above. The protective order should place restrictions on various agencies from releasing any of these materials or any information contained in these materials.

17

22

23

Firm Name: Address: Phone: